

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

A telephonic interview was conducted between the Applicant's undersigned representative and Examiner Christine Sung on November 16, 2007.

The objection to claims 20-23 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) and the rejections of claims 1-17, 20 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) were discussed during the interview.

Regarding the objection to claims 20-23, the Examiner indicated that since the claims do not define the term "handheld," the Examiner applied the plain dictionary meaning disclosed by Merriam Webster as "designed to be operated while being held in the hand." Applicant herein amends claims 20-23 to delete the features "wherein the handheld portable operating portion fits within an operator's hand," and instead, recite a different feature of the handheld operating portion.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1-17, 20 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), during the Interview, Applicant's undersigned representative pointed out that the computer 50 in Ivan does not send any commands to the controller which control the recording of the image. The Examiner acknowledged this difference, and indicated that an amendment which further clarified this distinction should be sufficient to overcome the current rejection. Accordingly, Applicant includes herewith an amendment to claims 1, 15, 18 and 19 consistent with the above-noted agreement.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Application No.: 10/684,705

Attorney Docket No.: Q77937

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.